

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

· J

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/622,381	08/16/2000	Italo Corzani	CM1709	5312	
27752	7590 07/25/2002				
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DIVISION WINTON HILL TECHNICAL CENTER - BOX 161			EXAMINER		
			WEBB, JAMISUE A		
	R HILL AVENUE I, OH 45224		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	•		3761	*	

DATE MAILED: 07/25/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	PT.				
	Office Action Summary	09/622,381	CORZANI, ITALO					
	omec Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ann	Jamisue A. Webb	3761					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status 1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 N	May 2002						
2a)□		is action is non-final.						
3)	,		prospection as to the mori	to io				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
	on of Claims							
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) $1,3,5,7-10$ and 15-22 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,5,7-10 and 15-22</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
_	on Papers The appeification is objected to by the Everying	_						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
, ,								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
I.C. Dotnot and T	rademark Office	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

Application/Control Number: 09/622,381 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 5/16/02 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 11-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 11 recites the limitation "said absorbent material" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The applicant has changed "absorbent" to "adsorbent" in the claim, therefore "said absorbent material" does not have support earlier in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/622,381 Page 3

Art Unit: 3761

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 6. Claims 1, 3, 5, 7-10, 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy (5,635,196).
- 7. With respect to Claims 1, 3, 11, and 15: Murphy discloses the use of an odor controlling substance where gluteraldehyde is impregnated into a silica desiccant (Column 2, lines 37-64).
- 8. With respect to Claims 5, 7, 16, 17, and 18: These claims are rejected as being directed to a non-selected species of the Markush groups in Claims 1 and 11.
- 9. With respect to Claims 8 and 19: Murphy discloses the same material that is claimed in the independent claims, therefore it is the examiner's position that the pH of the particles, are inherently 7.0 ± 0.5 .
- 10. With respect to Claims 9 and 20: Gluteraldehyde has two aldehyde groups (two double bonded oxygens) in its structure, (one at each end), therefore having more than one active group.
- 11. With respect to Claims 10 and 21: Murphy discloses the material above as desiccant particles. The examiner considers the fact that there is more than one desiccant particle disclosed, there is more than one material present. (column 2, lines 37-64).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/622,381

Page 4

Art Unit: 3761

13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 14. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Karapasha (5,407,442) in view of Murphy (5,635,196).
- 15. Karapasha, discloses the use of an absorbent article such as a pantiliner (column 24, lines 28-30), that has a silica based odor absorbent compound included (see abstract). However, Karapasha fails to disclose the use of an adsorption material that is doped with at least one dopant. Murphy discloses the use of an odor controlling substance where gluteraldehyde (dopant) is impregnated (doped) into a silica desiccant (adsorption material) (Column 2, lines 37-64). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to replace the silica based odor absorbent composition of Karapasha, with the composition of Murphy, in order to disinfect and solidify liquid waste which will in turn reduce and control odors produced in liquid waste (see Murphy, columns 1 and 2).

Application/Control Number: 09/622,381

Art Unit: 3761

Response to Amendment

With respect to applicant's argument over the 112 2nd paragraph rejection of Claim 17: 16.

applicant's arguments that Claim 17 is directed to a species of the dopant, and Claim 15 is

directed to a species of the adsorption material are persuasive, and rejection is dropped.

17. With respect to Applicant's argument that Mormon discloses a coated adsorbing material,

not a doped adsorbent material: Applicant's arguments are considered persuasive, and a new

rejection is stated above.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Jamisue A. Webb whose telephone number is (703) 308-8579.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:30 - 4:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dennis Ruhl can be reached on (703)308-2262. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

DENNIS RUHL

Page 5

PRIMARY EXAMINER